



FIDO Registry of Predefined Values

FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft 20 February 2018

This version:

https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220/fido-registry-v1.2-id-20180220.html

Previous version:

https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-rd-20171128/fido-registry-v1.2-rd-20171128.html

Editor:

Rolf Lindemann, Nok Nok Labs, Inc.

Contributors:

Davit Baghdasaryan, Nok Nok Labs, Inc. Brad Hill, PayPal

Copyright © 2013-2018 FIDO Alliance All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document defines all the strings and constants reserved by FIDO protocols. The values defined in this document are referenced by various FIDO specifications.

Status of This Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current FIDO Alliance publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the <u>FIDO Alliance specifications index</u> at https://www.fidoalliance.org/specifications/.

This document was published by the <u>FIDO Alliance</u> as a Implementation Draft. This document is intended to become a FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard. If you wish to make comments regarding this document, please <u>Contact Us</u>. All comments are welcome.

This Implementation Draft Specification has been prapared by FIDO Alliance, Inc. Permission is hereby granted to use the Specification solely for the purpose of implementing the Specification. No rights are granted to prepare derivative works of this Specification. Entities seeking permission to reproduce portions of this Specification for other uses must contact the FIDO Alliance to determine whether an appropriate license for such use is

available.

Implementation of certain elements of this Specification may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The FIDO Alliance, Inc. and its Members and any other contributors to the Specification are not, and shall not be held, responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

THIS FIDO ALLIANCE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY OF NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Table of Contents

- 1. Notation
 - 1.1 Conformance
- 2. Overview
- 3. Authenticator Characteristics
 - 3.1 User Verification Methods
 - 3.2 Key Protection Types
 - 3.3 Matcher Protection Types
 - 3.4 Authenticator Attachment Hints
 - 3.5 Transaction Confirmation Display Types
 - 3.6 Tags used for crypto algorithms and types
 - 3.6.1 Authentication Algorithms
 - 3.6.2 Public Key Representation Formats
- A. References
 - A.1 Normative references
 - A.2 Informative references

1. Notation

Type names, attribute names and element names are written ascode.

String literals are enclosed in "", e.g. "UAF-TLV".

In formulas we use "I" to denote byte wise concatenation operations.

FIDO specific terminology used in this document is defined in FIDOGlossary].

Some entries are marked as "(optional)" in this spec. The meaning of this is defined in other FIDO specifications referring to this document.

1.1 Conformance

As well as sections marked as non-normative, all authoring guidelines, diagrams, examples, and notes in this specification are non-normative. Everything else in this specification is normative.

The key words must, must not, required, should, should not, recommended, may, and optional in this specification are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Overview

This section is non-normative.

This document defines the registry of FIDO-specific constants common to multiple FIDO protocol families. It is expected that, over time, new constants will be added to this registry. For example new authentication algorithms and new types of authenticator characteristics will require new constants to be defined for use within the specifications.

3. Authenticator Characteristics

This section is normative.

3.1 User Verification Methods

The <u>user_verify</u> constants are flags in a bitfield represented as a 32 bit long integer. They describe the methods and capabilities of an UAF authenticator for *locally* verifying a user. The operational details of these methods are opaque to the server. These constants are used in the authoritative metadata for an authenticator, reported and queried through the UAF Discovery APIs, and used to form authenticator policies in UAF protocol messages.

All user verification methods must be performed locally by the authenticator in order to meet FIDO privacy principles.

USER VERIFY PRESENCE 0x0000001

This flag must be set if the authenticator is able to confirm user presence in any fashion. If this flag and no other is set for user verification, the guarantee is only that the authenticator cannot be operated without some human intervention, not necessarily that the sensing of "presence" provides any level of user verification (e.g. a device that requires a button press to activate).

USER VERIFY FINGERPRINT 0x00000002

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses any type of measurement of a fingerprint for user verification.

USER VERIFY PASSCODE 0x00000004

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses a local-only passcode (i.e. a passcode not known by the server) for user verification.

USER VERIFY VOICEPRINT 0x00000008

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses a voiceprint (also known as speaker recognition) for user verification.

USER VERIFY FACEPRINT 0x00000010

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses any manner of face recognition to verify the user.

USER VERIFY LOCATION 0x00000020

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses any form of location sensor or measurement for user verification.

USER VERIFY EYEPRINT 0x00000040

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses any form of eye biometrics for user verification.

USER_VERIFY_PATTERN 0x00000080

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses a drawn pattern for user verification.

USER_VERIFY_HANDPRINT 0x00000100

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses any measurement of a full hand (including palm-print, hand geometry or vein geometry) for user verification.

USER_VERIFY_NONE 0x00000200

This flag must be set if the authenticator will respond without any user interaction (e.g. Silent Authenticator).

USER_VERIFY_ALL 0x00000400

If an authenticator sets multiple flags for user verification types, itmay also set this flag to indicate that all verification methods will be enforced (e.g. faceprint AND voiceprint). If flags for multiple user verification methods are set and this flag is not set, verification with only one is necessary (e.g. fingerprint OR passcode).

3.2 Key Protection Types

The KEY_PROTECTION constants are flags in a bit field represented as a 16 bit long integer. They describe the method an authenticator uses to protect the private key material for FIDO

registrations. Refer to [UAFAuthnrCommands] for more details on the relevance of keys and key protection. These constants are used in the authoritative metadata for an authenticator, reported and queried through the UAF Discovery APIs, and used to form authenticator policies in UAF protocol messages.

When used in metadata describing an authenticator, several of these flags are exclusive of others (i.e. can not be combined) - the certified metadata may have at most one of the mutually exclusive bits set to 1. When used in authenticator policy, any bit may be set to 1, e.g. to indicate that a server is willing to accept authenticators using either KEY PROTECTION SOFTWARE OF KEY PROTECTION HARDWARE.

NOTE

These flags must be set according to the *effective* security of the keys, in order to follow the assumptions made in [FIDOSecRef]. For example, if a key is stored in a secure element *but* software running on the FIDO User Device could call a function in the secure element to export the key either in the clear or using an arbitrary wrapping key, then the effective security is KEY_PROTECTION_SOFTWARE and not KEY_PROTECTION_SECURE_ELEMENT.

KEY PROTECTION SOFTWARE 0x0001

This flag must be set if the authenticator uses software-based key management. Exclusive in authenticator metadata with key_protection_hardware,

KEY_PROTECTION_TEE, KEY_PROTECTION_SECURE_ELEMENT

KEY PROTECTION HARDWARE 0x0002

This flag should be set if the authenticator uses hardware-based key management. Exclusive in authenticator metadata with KEY PROTECTION SOFTWARE

KEY PROTECTION TEE 0x0004

This flag should be set if the authenticator uses the Trusted Execution Environment [TEE] for key management. In authenticator metadata, this flag should be set in conjunction with key_protection_hardware. Mutually exclusive in authenticator metadata with key_protection_secure_element

KEY PROTECTION SECURE ELEMENT 0x0008

This flag should be set if the authenticator uses a Secure Element [SecureElement] for key management. In authenticator metadata, this flag should be set in conjunction with KEY_PROTECTION_HARDWARE. Mutually exclusive in authenticator metadata with KEY_PROTECTION_SOFTWARE

KEY PROTECTION REMOTE HANDLE 0x0010

This flag must be set if the authenticator does not store (wrapped) UAuth keys at the client, but relies on a server-provided key handle. This flag must be set in conjunction with one of the other KEY_PROTECTION flags to indicate how the local key handle wrapping key and operations are protected. Servers may unset this flag in authenticator policy if they are not prepared to store and return key handles, for example, if they have a requirement to respond indistinguishably to authentication attempts against userIDs that do and do not exist. Refer to [UAFProtocol] for more details.

3.3 Matcher Protection Types

The MATCHER_PROTECTION constants are flags in a bit field represented as a 16 bit long integer. They describe the method an authenticator uses to protect the matcher that performs user verification. These constants are used in the authoritative metadata for an authenticator, reported and queried through the UAF Discovery APIs, and used to form authenticator policies in UAF protocol messages. Refer to [UAFAuthnrCommands] for more details on the matcher component.

NOTE

These flags must be set according to the *effective* security of the matcher, in order to follow the assumptions made in [FIDOSecRef]. For example, if a passcode based matcher is implemented in a secure element, but the passcode is expected to be

provided as unauthenticated parameter, then the effective security is MATCHER PROTECTION SOFTWARE and not MATCHER PROTECTION ON CHIP.

MATCHER PROTECTION SOFTWARE 0x0001

This flag must be set if the authenticator's matcher is running in software. Exclusive in authenticator metadata with MATCHER PROTECTION TEE, MATCHER PROTECTION ON CHIP

MATCHER PROTECTION TEE 0x0002

This flag should be set if the authenticator's matcher is running inside the Trusted Execution Environment [TEE]. Mutually exclusive in authenticator metadata with MATCHER PROTECTION SOFTWARE, MATCHER PROTECTION ON CHIP

MATCHER PROTECTION ON CHIP 0x0004

This flag should be set if the authenticator's matcher is running on the chip. Mutually exclusive in authenticator metadata with MATCHER_PROTECTION_TEE,

MATCHER_PROTECTION_SOFTWARE

3.4 Authenticator Attachment Hints

The ATTACHMENT_HINT constants are flags in a bit field represented as a 32 bit long. They describe the method an authenticator uses to communicate with the FIDO User Device. These constants are reported and queried through the UAF Discovery APIs [UAFAppAPIAndTransport], and used to form Authenticator policies in UAF protocol messages. Because the connection state and topology of an authenticator may be transient, these values are only hints that can be used by server-supplied policy to guide the user experience, e.g. to prefer a device that is connected and ready for authenticating or confirming a low-value transaction, rather than one that is more secure but requires more user effort.

NOTE

These flags are not a mandatory part of authenticator metadata and, when present, only indicate possible states that may be reported during authenticator discovery.

ATTACHMENT HINT INTERNAL 0x0001

This flag may be set to indicate that the authenticator is permanently attached to the FIDO User Device.

A device such as a smartphone may have authenticator functionality that is able to be used both locally and remotely. In such a case, the FIDO client must filter and exclusively report only the relevant bit during Discovery and when performing policy matching.

This flag cannot be combined with any otherattachment HINT flags.

ATTACHMENT HINT EXTERNAL 0x0002

This flag may be set to indicate, for a hardware-based authenticator, that it is removable or remote from the FIDO User Device.

A device such as a smartphone may have authenticator functionality that is able to be used both locally and remotely. In such a case, the FIDO UAF Client must filter and exclusively report only the relevant bit during discovery and when performing policy matching.

This flag must be combined with one or more other ATTACHMENT HINT flag(s).

ATTACHMENT_HINT_WIRED 0x0004

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator currently has an exclusive wired connection, e.g. through USB, Firewire or similar, to the FIDO User Device.

ATTACHMENT HINT WIRELESS 0x0008

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator communicates with the FIDO User Device through a personal area or otherwise non-routed wireless protocol, such as Bluetooth or NFC.

ATTACHMENT HINT NFC 0x0010

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator is able to communicate by NFC to the FIDO User Device. As part of authenticator metadata, or when reporting characteristics through discovery, if this flag is set, the ATTACHMENT_HINT_WIRELESS flag should also be set as well.

ATTACHMENT HINT BLUETOOTH 0x0020

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator is able to communicate using Bluetooth with the FIDO User Device. As part of authenticator metadata, or when reporting characteristics through discovery, if this flag is set, the ATTACHMENT HINT WIRELESS flag should also be set.

ATTACHMENT HINT NETWORK 0x0040

This flag may be set to indicate that the authenticator is connected to the FIDO User Device over a non-exclusive network (e.g. over a TCP/IP LAN or WAN, as opposed to a PAN or point-to-point connection).

ATTACHMENT HINT READY 0x0080

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator is in a "ready" state. This flag is set by the ASM at its discretion.

NOTE

Generally this should indicate that the device is immediately available to perform user verification without additional actions such as connecting the device or creating a new biometric profile enrollment, but the exact meaning may vary for different types of devices. For example, a USB authenticator may only report itself as ready when it is plugged in, or a Bluetooth authenticator when it is paired and connected, but an NFC-based authenticator may always report itself as ready.

ATTACHMENT HINT WIFI DIRECT 0x0100

This flag may be set to indicate that an external authenticator is able to communicate using WiFi Direct with the FIDO User Device. As part of authenticator metadata and when reporting characteristics through discovery, if this flag is set, the <a href="https://doi.org/10.2016/nc.2016/

3.5 Transaction Confirmation Display Types

The transaction confirmation display constants are flags in a bit field represented as a 16 bit long integer. They describe the availability and implementation of a transaction confirmation display capability required for the transaction confirmation operation. These constants are used in the authoritative metadata for an authenticator, reported and queried through the UAF Discovery APIs, and used to form authenticator policies in UAF protocol messages. Refer to [UAFAuthnrCommands] for more details on the security aspects of TransactionConfirmation Display.

TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY ANY 0x0001

This flag must be set to indicate that a transaction confirmation display, of any type, is available on this authenticator. Other <u>transaction_confirmation_display</u> flags may also be set if this flag is set. If the authenticator does not support a transaction confirmation display, then the value of <u>transaction_confirmation_display</u> must be set to 0.

TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY PRIVILEGED SOFTWARE 0x0002

This flag must be set to indicate, that a software-based transaction confirmation display operating in a privileged context is available on this authenticator.

A FIDO client that is capable of providing this capability may set this bit (in conjunction with transaction_confirmation_display_any) for all authenticators of type attachment_hint_internal, even if the authoritative metadata for the authenticator does not indicate this capability.

Software based transaction confirmation displays might be implemented within the boundaries of the ASM rather than by the authenticator itself [UAFASM].

This flag is mutually exclusive with transaction_confirmation_display_tee and transaction confirmation display hardware.

TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY TEE 0x0004

This flag should be set to indicate that the authenticator implements a transaction confirmation display in a Trusted Execution Environment ([TEE], [TEESecureDisplay]). This flag is mutually exclusive with

TRANSACTION_CONFIRMATION_DISPLAY_PRIVILEGED_SOFTWARE and TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY HARDWARE.

TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY HARDWARE 0x0008

This flag should be set to indicate that a transaction confirmation display based on hardware assisted capabilities is available on this authenticator. This flag is mutually exclusive with transaction_confirmation_display_privileged_software and transaction_confirmation_display_tee.

TRANSACTION CONFIRMATION DISPLAY REMOTE 0x0010

This flag should be set to indicate that the transaction confirmation display is provided on a distinct device from the FIDO User Device. This flag can be combined with any other flag.

3.6 Tags used for crypto algorithms and types

These tags indicate the specific authentication algorithms, public key formats and other crypto relevant data.

3.6.1 Authentication Algorithms

The <u>ALG_SIGN</u> constants are 16 bit long integers indicating the specific signature algorithm and encoding.

NOTE

FIDO UAF supports RAW and DER signature encodings in order to allow small footprint authenticator implementations.

ALG SIGN SECP256R1 ECDSA SHA256 RAW 0x0001

An ECDSA signature on the NIST secp256r1 curve which must have raw R and S buffers, encoded in big-endian order. This is the signature encoding as specified in [ECDSA-ANSI].

```
I.e. [R (32 bytes), S (32 bytes)]
```

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_RAW
- ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_DER

ALG SIGN SECP256R1 ECDSA SHA256 DER 0x0002

DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded ECDSA signature [RFC5480] on the NIST secp256r1 curve.

```
I.e. a DER encoded sequence { r INTEGER, s INTEGER }
```

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_RAW
- ALG KEY ECC X962 DER

ALG SIGN RSASSA PSS SHA256 RAW 0x0003

RSASSA-PSS [RFC3447] signature must have raw S buffers, encoded in big-endian order [RFC4055] [RFC4056]. The default parameters as specified in [RFC4055] must be assumed, i.e.

- Mask Generation Algorithm MGF1 with SHA256
- Salt Length of 32 bytes, i.e. the length of a SHA256 hash value.
- Trailer Field value of 1, which represents the trailer field with hexadecimal value 0xBC.

```
I.e. [ S (256 bytes) ]
```

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_RAW
- ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_DER

ALG_SIGN_RSASSA_PSS_SHA256_DER 0x0004

DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded OCTET STRING (not BIT STRING!) containing the RSASSA-PSS [RFC3447] signature [RFC4055] [RFC4056]. The default parameters as specified in [RFC4055] must be assumed, i.e.

- Mask Generation Algorithm MGF1 with SHA256
- Salt Length of 32 bytes, i.e. the length of a SHA256 hash value.
- Trailer Field value of 1, which represents the trailer field with hexadecimal value 0xBC.

I.e. a DER encoded octet string (including its tag and length bytes).

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG KEY RSA 2048 RAW
- ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_DER

ALG SIGN SECP256K1 ECDSA SHA256 RAW 0x0005

An ECDSA signature on the secp256k1 curve which must have raw R and S buffers, encoded in big-endian order.

```
I.e.[R (32 bytes), S (32 bytes)]
```

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_RAW
- ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_DER

ALG SIGN SECP256K1 ECDSA SHA256 DER 0x0006

DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded ECDSA signature [RFC5480] on the secp256k1 curve.

```
I.e. a DER encoded sequence { r INTEGER, s INTEGER }
```

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG KEY ECC X962 RAW
- ALG KEY ECC X962 DER

ALG_SIGN_SM2_SM3_RAW 0x0007 (optional)

Chinese SM2 elliptic curve based signature algorithm combined with SM3 hash algorithm [OSCCA-SM2][OSCCA-SM3]. We use the 256bit curve [OSCCA-SM2-curve-param].

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation format: ALG_KEY_ECC_X962_RAW.

ALG_SIGN_RSA_EMSA_PKCS1_SHA256 RAW 0x0008

This is the EMSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature as defined in [RFC3447]. This means that the encoded message EM will be the input to the cryptographic signing algorithm RSASP1 as defined in [RFC3447]. The result s of RSASP1 is then encoded using function I2OSP to produce the raw signature octets.

- EM = $0x00 \mid 0x01 \mid PS \mid 0x00 \mid T$
- with the padding string PS with length=emLen tLen 3 octets having the value 0xff for each octet, e.g. (0x) ff ff ff ff ff ff
- with the DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded DigestInfo value T: (0x)30 31 30 0d 06 09 60 86 48 01 65 03 04 02 01 05 00 04 20 | H, where H denotes the bytes of the SHA256 hash value.

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG KEY RSA 2048 RAW
- ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_DER

NOTE

Implementers should verify that their implementation of the PKCS#1 V1.5 signature follows the recommendations in [RFC3218] to protect against adaptive chosen-ciphertext attacks such as Bleichenbacher.

ALG SIGN RSA EMSA PKCS1 SHA256 DER 0x0009

DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded OCTET STRING (not BIT STRING!) containing the EMSA-PKCS1-v1_5 signature as defined in [RFC3447]. This means that the encoded message EM will be the input to the cryptographic signing algorithm RSASP1 as defined in [RFC3447]. The result s of RSASP1 is then encoded using function I2OSP to produce the raw signature. The raw signature is DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded as an OCTET STRING to produce the final signature octets.

- EM = $0x00 \mid 0x01 \mid PS \mid 0x00 \mid T$
- with the padding string PS with length=emLen tLen 3 octets having the value 0xff for each octet, e.g. (0x) ff ff ff ff ff ff ff
- with the DER encoded DigestInfo value T:(0x)30 31 30 0d 06 09 60 86 48 01 65 03 04 02 01 05 00 04 20 | H, where H denotes the bytes of the SHA256 hash value.

This algorithm is suitable for authenticators using the following key representation formats:

- ALG KEY RSA 2048 RAW
- ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_DER

NOTE

Implementers should verify that their implementation of the PKCS#1 V1.5 signature follows the recommendations in [RFC3218] to protect against adaptive chosen-ciphertext attacks such as Bleichenbacher.

3.6.2 Public Key Representation Formats

The ALG_KEY constants are 16 bit long integers indicating the specific Public Key algorithm and encoding.

NOTE

FIDO UAF supports RAW and DER encodings in order to allow small footprint authenticator implementations. By definition, the authenticator must encode the public key as part of the registration assertion.

ALG KEY ECC X962 RAW 0x0100

Raw ANSI X9.62 formatted Elliptic Curve public key [SEC1].

l.e. [0x04, x (32 bytes), Y (32 bytes)]. Where the byte 0x04 denotes the uncompressed point compression method.

ALG KEY ECC X962 DER 0x0101

DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded ANSI X.9.62 formatted SubjectPublicKeyInfo [RFC5480] specifying an elliptic curve public key.

I.e. a DER encoded SubjectPublicKeyInfo as defined in [RFC5480].

Authenticator implementations must generate namedCurve in the ECParameters Object which is included in the AlgorithmIdentifier. A FIDO UAF Servermust accept namedCurve in the ECParameters Object which is included in the AlgorithmIdentifier.

ALG_KEY_RSA_2048_RAW 0x0102

Raw encoded 2048-bit RSA public key [RFC3447].

That is, [n] (256 bytes), [e] (N-256 bytes)]. Where [n] is the total length of the field.

This total length should be taken from the object containing this key, e.g. the TLV encoded field.

ALG KEY RSA 2048 DER 0x0103

ASN.1 DER [ITU-X690-2008] encoded 2048-bit RSA [RFC3447] public key [RFC4055].

That is a DER encoded sequence { n integer, e integer }.

ALG KEY COSE 0x0104

COSE_Key format, as defined in Section 7 of RFC8152]. This encoding includes its own field for indicating the public key algorithm.

A. References

A.1 Normative references

[FIDOGlossary]

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill; J. Hodges. *FIDO Technical Glossary*. Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-

20180220/fido-glossary-v1.2-id-20180220.html

[ITU-X690-2008]

X.690: Information technology - ASN.1 encoding rules: Specification of Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Canonical Encoding Rules (CER) and Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER), (T-REC-X.690-200811). November 2008. URL: http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-X.690-200811-I/en

[OSCCA-SM2]

SM2: Public Key Cryptographic Algorithm SM2 Based on Elliptic Curves: Part 1: General. December 2010. URL:

http://www.oscca.gov.cn/UpFile/2010122214822692.pdf

[OSCCA-SM2-curve-param]

SM2: Elliptic Curve Public-Key Cryptography Algorithm: Recommended Curve Parameters. December 2010. URL:

http://www.oscca.gov.cn/UpFile/2010122214836668.pdf

[OSCCA-SM3]

<u>SM3 Cryptographic Hash Algorithm</u>. December 2010. URL: http://www.oscca.gov.cn/UpFile/20101222141857786.pdf

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner. Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2119

[RFC3447]

J. Jonsson; B. Kaliski. <u>Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS) #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.1</u>. February 2003. Informational. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3447

[RFC40551

J. Schaad; B. Kaliski; R. Housley. <u>Additional Algorithms and Identifiers for RSA Cryptography for use in the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile.</u> June 2005. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4055

[RFC4056]

J. Schaad. *Use of the RSASSA-PSS Signature Algorithm in Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)*. June 2005. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc4056

[RFC5480]

S. Turner; D. Brown; K. Yiu; R. Housley; T. Polk. <u>Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information</u>. March 2009. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5480

[RFC8152]

J. Schaad. *CBOR Object Signing and Encryption (COSE)*. July 2017. Proposed Standard. URL: https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8152

[SEC1]

<u>SEC1: Elliptic Curve Cryptography, Version 2.0</u> September 2000. URL: http://secg.org/download/aid-780/sec1-v2.pdf

A.2 Informative references

[ECDSA-ANSI]

<u>Public Key Cryptography for the Financial Services Industry: The Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA), ANSI X9.62-2005</u>. November 2005. URL: http://webstore.ansi.org/RecordDetail.aspx?sku=ANSI+X9.62%3A2005

[FIDOSecRef]

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Hill. *FIDO Security Reference*. Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220/fido-security-ref-v1.2-id-20180220.html

[RFC3218]

E. Rescorla. <u>Preventing the Million Message Attack on Cryptographic Message Syntax</u> January 2002. Informational. URL: <u>https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc3218</u>

[SecureElement]

GlobalPlatform Card Specifications. URL:

https://www.globalplatform.org/specifications.asp

[TEE]

GlobalPlatform Trusted Execution Environment Specifications. URL: https://www.globalplatform.org/specifications.asp

[TEESecureDisplay]

GlobalPlatform Trusted User Interface API Specifications URL:

https://www.globalplatform.org/specifications.asp

[UAFASM]

D. Baghdasaryan; J. Kemp; R. Lindemann; B. Hill; R. Sasson. *FIDO UAF Authenticator-Specific Module API*. Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220/fido-uaf-asm-api-v1.2-id-20180220.html

[UAFAppAPIAndTransport]

B. Hill; D. Baghdasaryan; B. Blanke. <u>FIDO UAF Application API and Transport Binding Specification</u>. Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220.html

[UAFAuthnrCommands]

D. Baghdasaryan; J. Kemp; R. Lindemann; R. Sasson; B. Hill. *FIDO UAF Authenticator Commands v1.0*. Implementation Draft. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-uaf-authnr-cmds-v1.2-id-20180220.html

[UAFProtocol]

R. Lindemann; D. Baghdasaryan; E. Tiffany; D. Balfanz; B. Hill; J. Hodges. <u>FIDO UAF Protocol Specification v1.0</u>. Proposed Standard. URL: https://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-uaf-v1.2-id-20180220/fido-uaf-protocol-v1.2-id-20180220.html