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1 Notation

Type names, attribute names and element names are written in *italics*. String literals are enclosed in "", e.g. “UAF-TLV”. In formulas we use “|” to denote byte wise concatenation operations. U2F specific terminology used in this document is defined in [FIDO Glossary].

1.1 Key Words

The key words “MUST”, “MUST NOT”, “REQUIRED”, “SHALL”, “SHALL NOT”, “SHOULD”, “SHOULD NOT”, “RECOMMENDED”, “MAY”, and “OPTIONAL” in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2 Introduction

Note: Reading the ‘FIDO U2F Overview’ [U2FOverview] is recommended as a background for this document.

U2F Tokens provide cryptographic assertions that can be verified by relying parties. Typically, the relying party is a web server, and the cryptographic assertions are used as second-factors (in addition to passwords) during user authentication.

U2F Tokens are typically small special-purpose devices that aren’t directly connected to the Internet (and hence, able to talk directly to the relying party). Therefore, they rely on a FIDO Client to relay messages between the token and the relying party. Typically, the FIDO Client is a web browser.

The U2F protocol supports two operations, registration and authentication. The registration operation introduces the relying party to a freshly-minted keypair that is under control of the U2F token. The authentication operation proves possession of a previously-registered keypair to the relying party. Both the registration and authentication operation consist of three phases:

1. Setup: In this phase, the FIDO Client contacts the relying party and obtains a challenge. Using the challenge (and possibly other data obtained from the relying party and/or prepared by the FIDO Client itself), the FIDO Client prepares a request message for the U2F Token.

2. Processing: In this phase, the FIDO Client sends the request message to the token, and the token performs some cryptographic operations on the message, creating a response message. This response message is sent to the FIDO Client.

3. Verification: In this phase, the FIDO Client transmits the token’s response message, along with other data necessary for the relying party to verify the token response, to the relying party. The relying party then processes the token response and verifies its correctness. A correct registration response will cause the relying party to register a new public key for a user, while a correct authentication response will cause the relying party to accept that the client is in possession of the corresponding private key.

Here is a picture illustrating the three phases:
At the heart of the U2F protocol are the request messages sent to the U2F token, and the response messages received from the U2F token. Request messages are created by the relying party and consumed by the U2F token. Response messages are created by the U2F token and consumed by the relying party.

As the messages flow from relying party (through the FIDO Client) to the U2F token and back, they undergo various transformations and encodings. Some of these transformations and encodings are up to the individual implementations and are not standardized as part of FIDO U2F. For example, FIDO U2F does not prescribe how request and response messages are encoded between the FIDO Client and the relying party.

However, to ensure that U2F tokens from different vendors can work across U2F-compliant web sites certain encodings are standardized:

1. FIDO U2F standardizes a Javascript API that prescribes how a web application can pass request messages into the FIDO Client (in the case where the web browser is the FIDO Client), and what the encoding of the response messages is.

2. FIDO U2F standardizes how request and response messages are to be encoded when sent over from the client over the USB transport to U2F tokens. In addition to specifying the encoding, the transport level specification also specifies the format for control messages to the tokens and the format for the error responses from the tokens. We anticipate that FIDO U2F will standardize how request and response messages are encoded over other non-USB transports such as NFC or Bluetooth.

In this document we describe the “raw”, or canonical, format of the messages, i.e., without regard to the various encodings that are prescribed in U2F standards or that implementors might choose when sending messages around. The raw format of the messages is important to know for two reasons:

---

1. Note that the request message is usually obtained by the FIDO client from the relying party during the setup phase, and therefore reaches the FIDO client as part of an HTTP response. Similarly, the response message that is processed by the relying party during the verification phase is sent by the FIDO Client to the relying party in an HTTP request. Beware the possibility of confusion when talking about requests and responses!
1. The encoding of messages and parameters described elsewhere may refer to the raw messages described in this document. For example, a Javascript API might refer to a parameter of a function as the Base64-encoding of a raw registration response message. It is this document that describes what the raw registration response message looks like.

2. Cryptographic signatures are calculated over raw data. For example, the standard might prescribe that a certain cryptographic signature is taken over bytes 5 through 60 of a certain raw message. The implementor therefore has to know how what the raw message looks like.

In addition to raw request messages and successful raw message responses, this document will describe control messages and error responses for sake of completeness. However the format of these control messages and error responses are not specified in this document. Those formats are specified in the accompanying FIDO U2F USB transport encoding document [U2FUSBFraming].
3 Registration Messages

3.1 Registration Request Message

This message is used to initiate a U2F token registration. The FIDO Client first contacts the relying party to obtain a challenge, and then constructs the registration request message. The registration request message has two parts:

- The **challenge parameter** [32 bytes]. The challenge parameter is the SHA-256 hash of the Client Data, a stringified JSON datastructure that the FIDO Client prepares. Among other things, the Client Data contains the challenge from the relying party (hence the name of the parameter). See below for a detailed explanation of Client Data.
- The **application parameter** [32 bytes]. The application parameter is the SHA-256 hash of the application identity of the application requesting the registration. (See [U2FApp-Facet] for details.)

3.2 Registration Response Message: Error: Test-of-User-Presence Required

This is an error message that is output by the U2F token if no test-of-user-presence could be obtained by the U2F token.

This message does not have a raw/canonical representation.
3.3 Registration Response Message: Success

This message is output by the U2F token once it created a new keypair in response to the registration request message. Note that U2F tokens SHOULD verify user presence before returning a registration response success message (otherwise they SHOULD return a test-of-user-presence-required message - see above). Its raw representation is the concatenation of the following:

- A **reserved byte** [1 byte], which for legacy reasons has the value 0x05.
- A **user public key** [65 bytes]. This is the (uncompressed) x,y-representation of a curve point on the P-256 NIST elliptic curve.
- A **key handle length byte** [1 byte], which specifies the length of the key handle (see below).
- A **key handle** [length specified in previous field]. This a handle that allows the U2F token to identify the generated key pair. U2F tokens MAY wrap the generated private key and the application id it was generated for, and output that as the key handle.
- An **attestation certificate** [variable length]. This is a certificate in X.509 DER format. Parsing of the X.509 certificate unambiguously establishes its ending. The remaining bytes in the message are
  - a **signature**. This is a ECDSA signature (on P-256) over the following byte string:

![Registration Response Message: Success Diagram](image)
A byte reserved for future use [1 byte] with the value 0x00. This will evolve into a byte that will allow RPs to track known-good applet version of U2F tokens from specific vendors.

- The application parameter [32 bytes] from the registration request message.
- The challenge parameter [32 bytes] from the registration request message.
- The above key handle [variable length]. (Note that the key handle length is not included in the signature base string.)
- The above user public key [65 bytes].

The signature is to be verified by the relying party using the public key certified in the attestation certificate. The relying party should also verify that the attestation certificate was issued by a trusted certification authority. The exact process of setting up trusted certification authorities is to be defined by the FIDO Alliance and is outside the scope of this document.

Once the relying party verifies the signature, it should store the public key and key handle so that they can be used in future authentication operations.

---

5 This doesn’t cause confusion in the signature base string, since all other parameters in the signature base string are fixed-length.
4 Authentication Messages

4.1 Authentication Request Message

This message is used to initiate a U2F token authentication. The FIDO Client first contacts the relying party to obtain a challenge, and then constructs the authentication request message. The registration request message has five parts:

- **Control byte.** The control byte is determined by the FIDO Client - the relying party cannot specify its value. The FIDO Client will set the control byte to one of the following values:
  - **0x07 ("check-only"):** if the control byte is set to 0x07 by the FIDO Client, the U2F token is supposed to simply check whether the provided key handle was originally created by this token, and whether it was created for the provided application parameter. If so, the U2F token MUST respond with an authentication response message:"error:test-of-user-presence-required" (note that despite the name this signals a success condition). If the key handle was not created by this U2F token, or if it was created for a different application parameter, the token MUST respond with an authentication response message:"error:bad-key-handle."
  - **0x03 ("enforce-user-presence-and-sign"):** If the FIDO client sets the control byte to 0x03, then the U2F token is supposed to perform a real signature and respond with either an authentication response message:success or an appropriate error response (see below). The signature SHOULD only be provided if user presence could be validated.

Other control byte values are reserved for future use.

During registration, the FIDO Client MAY send authentication request messages to the U2F token to figure out whether the U2F token has already been registered. In this case, the FIDO client will use the check-only value for the control
byte. In all other cases (i.e., during authentication, the FIDO Client MUST use the
enforce-user-presence-and-sign value).

- The **challenge parameter** [32 bytes]. The challenge parameter is the SHA-256
  hash of the **Client Data**, a stringified JSON datastructure that the FIDO Client
  prepares. Among other things, the Client Data contains the challenge from the
  relying party (hence the name of the parameter). See below for a detailed expla-
  nation of Client Data.

- The **application parameter** [32 bytes]. The application parameter is the SHA-
  256 hash of the application identity [U2FAppFacet] of the application requesting
  the authentication as provided by the relying party.

- A **key handle length byte** [1 byte], which specifies the length of the key handle
  (see below).

- A **key handle** [length specified in previous field]. The key handle. This is pro-
  vided by the relying party, and was obtained by the relying party during registra-
  tion.

### 4.2 Authentication Response Message: Error: Test-of-User-Presence
Required

This is an error message that is output by the U2F token if no test-of-user-presence
could be obtained by the U2F token.

The format is specified in the transport encoding FIDO U2F document.

### 4.3 Authentication Response Message: Error: Bad Key Handle

This is an error message that is output by the U2F token if the provided key handle was
not originally created by this token, or if the provided key handle was created by this to-
ken, but for a different application parameter.

The format is specified in the transport encoding FIDO U2F document.
This message is output by the U2F token after processing/signing the authentication request message described above. Its raw representation is the concatenation of the following:

- A **user presence byte** [1 byte]. Bit 0 is set to 1, which means that user presence was verified. (This version of the protocol doesn’t specify a way to request authentication responses without requiring user presence.) A different value of Bit 0, as well as Bits 1 through 7, are reserved for future use. The values of Bit 1 through 7 SHOULD be 0:
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- A **counter** [4 bytes]. This is the big-endian representation of a counter value that the U2F token increments every time it performs an authentication operation. (See Implementation Considerations [U2FImplCons] for more detail.)

- A **signature**. This is a ECDSA signature (on P-256) over the following byte string:
  - The **application parameter** [32 bytes] from the authentication request message.
  - The above **user presence byte** [1 byte].
  - The above **counter** [4 bytes].
  - The **challenge parameter** [32 bytes] from the authentication request message.

The signature is to be verified by the relying party using the public key obtained during registration.
5 Other Messages

5.1 GetVersion Request and Response

The FIDO Client can query the U2F token about the U2F protocol version that it implements. The protocol version described in this document is \texttt{U2F\_V2}.

The format of the request message is specified in the transport encoding FIDO U2F document, and does not have a raw representation.

The response message’s raw representation is the ASCII representation of the string ‘U2F\_V2’ (without quotes).
6 Client Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>websafe-base64 encoding</td>
<td>This is the “Base 64 Encoding with URL and Filename Safe Alphabet” from Section 5 in RFC 4648 without padding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stringified javascript object</td>
<td>This is the JSON object (i.e., a string starting with “{” and ending with “}”) whose keys are the property names of the javascript object, and whose values are the corresponding property values. Only &quot;data objects&quot; can be stringified, i.e., only objects whose property names and values are supported in JSON.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Definition of Terms used in this section

The registration and authentication request messages contain a challenge parameter, which is defined as the SHA-256 hash of a (UTF8 representation of a) stringified JSON datastructure that the FIDO client has to prepare. The FIDO Client MUST send the Client Data (rather than its hash - the challenge parameter) to the relying party during the verification phase, where the relying party can re-generate the challenge parameter (by hashing the client data), which is necessary in order to verify the signature both on the registration response message and authentication response message.

In the case where the FIDO Client is a web browser, the client data is defined as follows (in WebIDL):

dictionary ClientData {
    // the constant ‘navigator.id.getAssertion’ for authentication, and
    // ‘navigator.id.finishEnrollment’ for registration
    DOMString typ;
    // the websafe-base64-encoded challenge provided by the relying party
    DOMString challenge;
    // the facet id of the caller, i.e., the web origin of the relying party.
    // (Note: this might be more accurately called ‘facet_id’, but
    // for compatibility with existing implementations within Chrome we keep
    // the legacy name.)
    DOMString origin;
    // The Channel ID public key used by this browser to communicate with the
    // above origin. This parameter is optional, and missing if the browser
    // doesn’t support Channel ID. It is present and set to the constant
    // ‘unused’ if the browser supports Channel ID, but is not using
    // Channel ID to talk to the above origin (presumably because the origin
    // server didn’t signal support for the Channel ID TLS extension).
    // Otherwise (i.e., both browser and origin server at the above
    // origin support Channel ID), it is present and of type JwkKey
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```javascript
266  (DOMString or JwkKey) cid_pubkey;
267 }  // A dictionary representing the public key used by a browser for the
268  // Channel ID TLS extension. The current version of the Channel ID draft
269  // prescribes the algorithm (ECDSA) and curve used, so the dictionary will
270  // have the following parameters:
271  dictionary JwkKey {
272    // signature algorithm used for Channel ID, i.e., the constant ‘EC’
273    DOMString kty;
274    // Elliptic curve on which this public key is defined, i.e., the constant
275    // ‘P-256’
276    DOMString crv;
277    // websafe-base64-encoding of the x coordinate of the public
278    // key (big-endian, 32-byte value)
279    DOMString x;
280    // websafe-base64-encoding of the y coordinate of the public
281    // key (big-endian, 32-byte value)
282    DOMString y;
283  }
```
7 Examples

7.1 Registration Example

Assume we have a U2F token with the following private attestation key:

```
f3fccc0d00d8031954f90864d43c247f4b5f0665c6b50cc17749a27d1cf7664
```

the corresponding public key:

```
048d617e65c9508e64bc5673ac8a6799da3c1446682c258c463effdf58dfd2fa
```

and the following attestation cert:

```
[ [ Version: V3
  Subject: CN=PilotGnubby-0.4.1-47901280001155957352
  Signature Algorithm: SHA256withECDSA, OID = 1.2.840.10045.4.3.2
  Key: EC Public Key
  X: 8d617e65c9508e64bc5673ac8a6799da3c1446682c258c463effdf58dfd2fa
  Y: 3e6c378b53d795c4a4dfbf44199ed7862f23abaf0203b4b8911ba0569994e101
  Validity: [From: Tue Aug 14 11:29:32 PDT 2012,
  To: Wed Aug 14 11:29:32 PDT 2013]
  Issuer: CN=Gnubby Pilot
  SerialNumber: [ 47901280 00115595 7352]
  ]
```

Algorithm: [SHA256withECDSA]

Signature:
```
0000: 30 44 02 20 60 CD B6 06
0010: 1E 9C 22 26 2D 1A AC 1D
0020: 96 D8 C7 08 29 B2 36 65
0030: 31 DD A2 68 83 2C B8 36
0040: 61 7e 65 c9 50 8e 64 bcc 56 73 ac 8a 67 99 da 3c 14 46 68
0050: 2c 25 8c 46 3eff df 58 df d2 fa 3e 6c 37 8b 53 d7 95 c4 a4 df
0060: bf 44 19 99 ed 78 62 f2 3a ba fa 02 03 b4 b8 91 11 ba 05 69 99
0070: 4e 10 1
```

The attestation cert in hex form:
```
3082013c3081e4a003020102020a47901280001155957352300a0602a8648cede304302302137131530130
603550403130c476e756262792050696c6f74301e170d3123038313431383239333235a170d3133303831
34313323332325a0303112f302d063550403132650696c6f74476e756262792d320e342e312d3437393
03132383030303133539333335323059301306072a8648ce3d020106082a8648ce3d030107034200
048d617e65c9508e64bc5673ac8a6799da3c1446682c258c463effdf58dfd2fa
fa3e6c378b53d795c4a4dfbf44199ed7862f23abaf0203b4b8911ba0569994e101
```

Now let's assume that we use the following client data
```
{"typ":"navigator.id.finishEnrollment","challenge":"vqrS6WXDe1JUs5_c314-LKvIHR-3XVb3auA5TifHo","cid_pubkey":{"kty":"EC","crv":"P-256","x":"HzQw1fXX7Q55McCnZUNB-w3RMzP09tOyJgqR14tJ8","y":"XVguGFLIZx1fXg3wNgfbdn75h14-_7-
BxhMljw42Ht4"},"origin":"http://example.com"}
```
with hash:
4142d21c00d94ffbb9d504ada8f99b721f4b191ae4e37ca0140f696b6983cfacb

and application id:
http://example.com

with hash:
f0e6a6a97042a4f1f1c87f5f7d44315b2d852c2df5c7991cc66241bf7072d1c4
to construct a registration request message.

Let's say the U2F token generates the following key pair:

Private key:
9a9684b127c5e3a706d618c784601c7cf6fd827f0d0bc18d24b0eb842e36d16df1

Public key:
04b174bc49c7ca254b702de5c207cee9cf174820eb77ea3c65508c26da5b16571c1-
c6b952f8621697936482da0a6d3d3826a59095dafa6dcd7c03e2e603852df6d9

Associated key handle:
2a552dfdf7477ed65fd84133f86196010b2215b57-
da75d315b7b9e8fe2e3925a6019551bab61d16591659cbaf00b4950f7abfe660e2e006f76868b772d70c

The signature base string for the registration response message is therefore:
00f0e6a6a97042a4f1f1c87f5f7d44315b2d852c2df5c7991cc66241bf7072d1c44142d21c00d94f-
b9d504ada8f99b721f4b191ae4e37ca0140f696b6983cfacb2a552dfd-
b7477ed65fd84133f86196010b2215b57-
da75d315b7b9e8fe2e3925a6019551bab61d16591659cbaf00b4950f7abfe660e2e006f76868b772d70c

A possible signature over the base string with the above private attestation key is:
304502201471899bcc3987e62e8202cb93c3c19033f734032da80fcab017d-
b9230e402210082677d673d891933ade6f61e5dbde2e247e70423f5ad7804a6d3961ef871

Which means the whole registration response message is:
0504b174bc49c7ca254b702de5c207cee9cf174820eb77ea3c65508c26da5b16571c1-
c6b952f8621697936482da0a6d3d3826a59095dafa6dcd7c03e2e603852df6d9-

from which (together with challenge and application parameters) the signature base
string and signature can be extracted, and verified with the public key from the attesta-
tion cert.
7.2 Authentication Example

Let's assume we have a U2F device with private key:
ffa1e110dde5a2f8d93c4df71e2d4337b7bf5ddb60c75dc2b6b81433b54dd3c0

and corresponding public key:
04d368f1b665bade3c33a20f1e429c7750d5033660c019119d29aa4ba7abc04aa7c8a046bbee11-
ca8cb5674d74f31f8a903f6bad105fb6ab74aeefef4db8b0025e1d

Example application id:
https://gstatic.com/securitykey/a/example.com

Example client data:
{"typ":"navigator.id.getAssertion","challenge":"opsXoUfDriAAmWclinfbS0e-USY0GyJHe_Otd7z8o","cid_pubkey":{"kty":"EC","crv":"P-256","x":"HzQwlfX7045S5MtCC-nZUNBw3RMzPO9t0yWjBqR14tJ8","y":"XVguGFLIZx1fXg3wNqfdbn75hi4-_7-8xhM1jw42Ht4"},"origin":"http://example.com"}

Hash of the above client data (challenge parameter):
ccd6ee2e47baef244d49a222db496bad0ef5b6f93aa7cc4d30c4821b3b9dbc57

Hash of the above application id (application parameter):
4b0be934baebbd512d26011b69227fa5e86df94e7d94aa2949a89f2d493992ca

Assuming counter = 1 and user_presence = 1, signature base string is:
4b0be934baebbd512d26011b69227fa5e86df94e7d94aa2949a89f2d493992ca0100000001ccd6ee2e47baef244d49a222db496bad0ef5b6f93aa7cc4d30c4821b3b9dbc57

A possible signature with above private key is:
304402204b5f0cd17534cedd8c34ee09570ef542a353df4436030ce43d406de870b847780220267bb998-fac9b7266eb6e7cb0b5eabdf5ba9614f53c7b22272ec100479a23f

Authentication Response Message:
0100000001304402204b5f0cd17534cedd8c34ee09570ef542a353df4436030ce43d406de870b847780220267bb998-fac9b7266eb6e7cb0b5eabdf5ba9614f53c7b22272ec100479a23f

The above signature and signature base string can be reconstructed from the authentication response message and the challenge and application parameters, and can be verified with the above public key.
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