



FIDO U2F Javascript API

FIDO Alliance Proposed Standard 09 October 2014

This version:

<http://www.fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-javascript-api-v1.0-ps-20141009.html>

Previous version:

<http://www.fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-javascript-api-v1.0-ID-20141009.html>

Editors:

[Dirk Balfanz, Google, Inc.](#)

[Amar Birgisson, Google, Inc.](#)

[Juan Lang, Google, Inc.](#)

The English version of this specification is the only normative version. Non-normative [translations](#) may also be available.

Copyright © 2013-2014 [FIDO Alliance](#) All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

The U2F Javascript API consists of two calls - one to register a U2F token with a relying party (i.e., cause the U2F token to generate a new key pair, and to introduce the new public key to the relying party), and one to sign an identity assertion (i.e., exercise a previously-registered key pair).

Status of This Document

This section describes the status of this document at the time of its publication. Other documents may supersede this document. A list of current FIDO Alliance publications and the latest revision of this technical report can be found in the [FIDO Alliance specifications index](#) at <https://www.fidoalliance.org/specifications/>.

This document was published by the [FIDO Alliance](#) as a Proposed Standard. If you wish to make comments regarding this document, please [Contact Us](#). All comments are welcome.

Implementation of certain elements of this Specification may require licenses under third party intellectual property rights, including without limitation, patent rights. The FIDO Alliance, Inc. and its Members and any other contributors to the Specification are not, and shall not be held, responsible in any manner for identifying or failing to identify any or all such third party intellectual property rights.

THIS FIDO ALLIANCE SPECIFICATION IS PROVIDED "AS IS" AND WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY OF NON-INFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

This document has been reviewed by FIDO Alliance Members and is endorsed as a Proposed Standard. It is a stable document and may be used as reference material or cited from another document. FIDO Alliance's role in making the Recommendation is to draw attention to the specification and to promote its widespread deployment.

Table of Contents

- 1. [Notation](#)
 - 1.1 [Key Words](#)
- 2. [Introduction](#)
- 3. [API Levels](#)
 - 3.1 [Low-level MessagePort API](#)
 - 3.1.1 [Dictionary Request Members](#)
 - 3.1.2 [Dictionary Response Members](#)
 - 3.1.3 [Dictionary Error Members](#)
 - 3.2 [High-level Javascript API](#)

- 3.2.1 [Methods](#)
- 4. [U2F operations](#)
 - 4.1 [Registration](#)
 - 4.1.1 [Dictionary RegisterRequest Members](#)
 - 4.1.2 [Dictionary RegisterResponse Members](#)
 - 4.2 [Generating signed identity assertions](#)
 - 4.2.1 [Dictionary SignRequest Members](#)
 - 4.2.2 [Dictionary SignResponse Members](#)
 - 4.3 [Error codes](#)
 - 4.3.1 [Constants](#)
- A. [References](#)
 - A.1 [Normative references](#)
 - A.2 [Informative references](#)

1. Notation

Type names, attribute names and element names are written as `code`.

String literals are enclosed in `"`, e.g. `"UAF-TLV"`.

In formulas we use `|` to denote byte wise concatenation operations.

DOM APIs are described using the ECMAScript [\[ECMA-262\]](#) bindings for WebIDL [\[WebIDL\]](#).

U2F specific terminology used in this document is defined in [\[FIDOGlossary\]](#).

1.1 Key Words

The key words `"MUST"`, `"MUST NOT"`, `"REQUIRED"`, `"SHALL"`, `"SHALL NOT"`, `"SHOULD"`, `"SHOULD NOT"`, `"RECOMMENDED"`, `"MAY"`, and `"OPTIONAL"` in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

Below we explain some of the terms used in this document:

Term	Definition
websafe-base64 encoding	This is the "Base 64 Encoding with URL and Filename Safe Alphabet" from Section 5 in [RFC4648] without padding.
stringified javascript object	This is the JSON object (i.e., a string starting with <code>"{"</code> and ending with <code>"}"</code>) whose keys are the property names of the javascript object, and whose values are the corresponding property values. Only "data objects" can be stringified, i.e., only objects whose property names and values are supported in JSON .

2. Introduction

Note: Reading the 'FIDO U2F Overview' (see [\[U2FOverview\]](#) in bibliography) is recommended as a background for this document.

A Relying Party (RP) consumes identity assertions from U2F tokens. The RP's web pages communicate with the U2F tokens on the client through a Javascript API. The RP also needs to perform some verification steps on the server side (see below). How the data obtained by the RP's Javascript is transferred to the RP's server is out of scope of this document. We instead describe the Javascript API used by the RP.

3. API Levels

The U2F API **MAY** be exposed to web pages on two levels. On the required lower level, RPs interact with the FIDO client through a MessagePort [\[WEBMESSAGING\]](#) object. The low-level MessagePort API defines the message formats for messages sent and received on the port, for the two operations supported by the API. This specification does not describe how such a port is made available to RP web pages, as this is (for now) implementation and browser dependent.

For convenience, the FIDO client **MAY** also expose a high-level Javascript API built on top of the MessagePort API. This API consists of functions corresponding to the different requests that can be made to the FIDO client. These functions respond to the RP asynchronously by invoking a callback.

Why two API levels? The messaging API requires only that pages obtain a MessagePort instance to the FIDO client, i.e. no code needs to be injected to JavaScript context of the RP's pages. This allows RPs to keep full control over the JS running in their pages. The JS API is offered as a convenient abstraction of the messaging API, and is useful for RP developers to quickly integrate U2F into their websites.

3.1 Low-level MessagePort API

RP web pages communicate with the FIDO client over an instance of the HTML5 MessagePort interface. Client implementations may choose how this instance is made available to web pages.

Messages sent to the FIDO client SHOULD be **Request** dictionaries:

WebIDL

```
dictionary Request {
  DOMString type;
  SignRequest[] signRequests;
  RegisterRequest[]? registerRequests;
  int? timeoutSeconds;
  optional int? requestId;
};
```

3.1.1 Dictionary **Request** Members

type of type **DOMString**

The type of request, either "u2f_register_request" or "u2f_sign_request".

signRequests of type array of **SignRequest**

A list of **SignRequest** dictionaries, one for each token already registered with this RP.

registerRequests of type array of **RegisterRequest**, nullable

A list of **RegisterRequest** dictionaries, one for each protocol version that the RP is willing to register.

timeoutSeconds of type **int**, nullable

A timeout for the FIDO Client's processing, in seconds.

requestId of type **optional int**, nullable

An integer identifying this request from concurrent requests.

SignRequest and **RegisterRequest** are defined below. If **timeoutSeconds** is omitted, timeout behavior is unspecified. If **requestId** is present, the FIDO client **MUST** include its value the corresponding **Response** dictionary under the same key.

Responses from the FIDO client to the RP webpage SHOULD be **Response** dictionaries:

WebIDL

```
dictionary Response {
  DOMString type;
  (Error or RegisterResponse or SignResponse) responseData;
  int? requestId;
};
```

3.1.2 Dictionary **Response** Members

type of type **DOMString**

The response type, either "u2f_register_response" or "u2f_sign_response"

responseData of type (**Error** or **RegisterResponse** or **SignResponse**)

The response data, see [4. U2F operations](#)

requestId of type **int**, nullable

The **requestId** value of the corresponding request, if present. Otherwise omitted.

Errors are indicated by an **Error** dictionary sent as the response data. An error dictionary can be identified by checking for its non-zero integer **errorCode** key. **RegisterResponse** and **SignResponse** do not define this key. An error object may optionally contain a string **errorMessage** with further description of the error.

WebIDL

```
dictionary Error {
  ErrorCode errorCode;
  DOMString? errorMessage;
};
```

3.1.3 Dictionary **Error** Members

errorCode of type **ErrorCode**

An error code from the **ErrorCode** enumeration.

errorMessage of type **DOMString**, nullable

A description of the error.

3.2 High-level Javascript API

A FIDO client **MAY** provide a JavaScript convenience API that abstracts the lower-level MessagePort API. Implementations may choose how to make such an API available to RP web pages. If such an API is provided, it **SHOULD** provide a namespace object **u2f** of the following interface.

WebIDL

```
interface u2f {
  void register (RegisterRequest[] registerRequests, SignRequest[] signRequests, function(RegisterResponse or Error) callback,
  void sign (SignRequest[] signRequests, function(SignResponse or Error) callback, optional int? opt_timeoutSeconds);
};
```

```
};
```

3.2.1 Methods

register

Parameter	Type	Nullable	Optional	Description
registerRequests	RegisterRequest[]	✗	✗	Register requests, one for each U2F protocol version accepted by RP
signRequests	SignRequest[]	✗	✗	Sign requests for already registered tokens
callback	function(RegisterResponse or Error)	✗	✗	Response handler
opt_timeoutSeconds	int	✓	✓	Timeout in seconds, for the FIDO client's handling of the request.

Return type: void

sign

Parameter	Type	Nullable	Optional	Description
signRequests	SignRequest[]	✗	✗	Sign requests, one for each registered token
callback	function(SignResponse or Error)	✗	✗	Response handler
opt_timeoutSeconds	int	✓	✓	Timeout in seconds, for the FIDO client's handling of the request.

Return type: void

The JavaScript API **MUST** invoke the provided callbacks with either response objects, or an error object. An error can be detected by testing for a non-zero **errorCode** key.

EXAMPLE 1

```
u2f.sign(reqs, function(response) {
    if (response.errorCode) {
        // response is an Error
        ...
    } else {
        // response is a SignResponse
        ...
    }
});
```

4. U2F operations

Regardless of the API level used, the U2F client **MUST** support the two operations of registering a token, and generating a signed assertion. This section describes the interface to each operation, their corresponding request and response dictionaries and possible error codes.

4.1 Registration

To register a U2F token for a user account at the RP, the RP **MUST**:

- decide which U2F protocol version(s) of device it wants to register,
- pick an appropriate application id for the registration request,
- generate a random challenge, and
- store all private information associated with the registration (expiration times, user ids, etc.)

For each version it is willing to register, it then prepares a **RegisterRequest** dictionary as follows:

WebIDL

```
dictionary RegisterRequest {
    DOMString version;
    DOMString challenge;
    DOMString appId;
};
```

4.1.1 Dictionary RegisterRequest Members

version of type **DOMString**

The version of the protocol that the to-be-registered token must speak. E.g. "U2F_V2".

challenge of type **DOMString**

The websafe-base64-encoded challenge.

appId of type **DOMString**

The application id that the RP asserts. The new key pair that the U2F token generates will be associated with this application id. (For

application id details see [FIDOAppIDAndFacets] in bibliography).

Additionally, the RP **SHOULD** prepare a SignRequest for each U2F token that is already registered for the current user. See the following section for the specification of sign requests.

The RP delivers a registration request to the FIDO client either via the low-level MessagePort API, or by invoking the high-level JavaScript API.

EXAMPLE 2

```
// Low-level API
var port = <obtain U2F MessagePort in a browser specific manner>;
port.addEventListener('message', responseHandler);
port.postMessage({
  'type': 'u2f_register_request',
  'registerRequests': [<RegisterRequest instance>, ...],
  'signRequests': [<SignRequest for known token 1>, ...],
  'timeoutSeconds': 30,
  'requestId': <unique integer> // optional
});
```

EXAMPLE 3

```
// High-level API
u2f.register([<RegisterRequest instance>, ...],
  [<SignRequest for known token 1>, ...],
  registerResponseHandler);
```

The FIDO client **SHOULD** treat the order of RegisterRequest dictionaries in the first parameter as a prioritized list. That is, if multiple tokens are present that support more than one version provided by the RP, the version that appears first should be selected. Note that this means multiple RegisterRequests with the same version are redundant, since the first one will always be selected.

Note also that the responseHandler in the low-level API receives a Response object, while the registerResponseHandler in the high-level API receives the Error or RegisterResponse objects directly.

The FIDO client will create the raw registration and sign request messages from this data (see [U2FRawMsgs] in bibliography), and attempt to perform a registration operation with a U2F token. The sign request messages will have the (internal) checkOnly boolean of the control state set to true, and are used to identify such U2F tokens that are already registered with the relying party. The registration request message is then used to register a U2F token that is not already registered (if such a token is present).

Note that as part of creating the registration request message, the FIDO client will create a Client Data object (see [U2FRawMsgs]). This Client Data object will be returned to the caller as part of the registration response (see below).

If the registration is successful, the FIDO client returns (via the message port, or the JS API callback) a RegisterResponse dictionary as follows.

WebIDL

```
dictionary RegisterResponse {
  DOMString registrationData;
  DOMString clientData;
};
```

4.1.2 Dictionary RegisterResponse Members

registrationData of type DOMString
The raw registration response websafe-base64

clientData of type DOMString
The client data created by the FIDO client, websafe-base64 encoded.

For the contents of these fields, refer to [U2FRawMsgs] (see bibliography).

4.2 Generating signed identity assertions

To obtain an identity assertion from a locally-attached U2F token, the RP must

- prepare a SignRequest object for each U2F token that the user has currently registered with the RP.

WebIDL

```
dictionary SignRequest {
  DOMString version;
  DOMString challenge;
  DOMString keyHandle;
  DOMString appId;
};
```

4.2.1 Dictionary SignRequest Members

version of type DOMString
Version of the protocol that the to-be-registered U2F token must speak. E.g. "U2F_V2"

challenge of type `DOMString`
The websafe-base64-encoded challenge.

keyHandle of type `DOMString`
The registered keyHandle to use for signing, as returned by the U2F token during registration.

appId of type `DOMString`
The application id that the RP would like to assert.

In response to a sign request, the FIDO client should perform the following steps:

- Verify the application identity of the caller.
- Using the provided challenge, create a client data object.
- Using the client data, the application id, and the key handle, create a raw authentication request message (see [U2FRawMsgs] in bibliography) and send it to the U2F token.

Eventually the FIDO client must respond (via the MessageChannel or the provided callback). In the case of an error, an `Error` dictionary is returned. In case of success, a `SignResponse` is returned.

WebIDL

```
dictionary SignResponse {  
  DOMString keyHandle;  
  DOMString signatureData;  
  DOMString clientData;  
};
```

4.2.2 Dictionary `SignResponse` Members

keyHandle of type `DOMString`
The keyHandle of the SignRequest that was processed.

signatureData of type `DOMString`
The raw response from U2F device, websafe-base64 encoded.

clientData of type `DOMString`
The client data created by the FIDO client, websafe-base64 encoded.

If there are multiple U2F tokens that responded to the authentication request, the FIDO client will pick one of the responses and pass it to the caller.

4.3 Error codes

When an `Error` object is returned, its `errorCode` field is set to a non-negative integer indicating the general error that occurred, from the following enumeration.

WebIDL

```
interface ErrorCode {  
  const int OK = 0;  
  const int OTHER_ERROR = 1;  
  const int BAD_REQUEST = 2;  
  const int CONFIGURATION_UNSUPPORTED = 3;  
  const int DEVICE_INELIGIBLE = 4;  
  const int TIMEOUT = 5;  
};
```

4.3.1 Constants

OK of type `int`
Success. Not used in errors but reserved

OTHER_ERROR of type `int`
An error otherwise not enumerated here

BAD_REQUEST of type `int`
The request cannot be processed

CONFIGURATION_UNSUPPORTED of type `int`
Client configuration is not supported

DEVICE_INELIGIBLE of type `int`
The presented device is not eligible for this request. For a registration request this may mean that the token is already registered, and for a sign request it may mean the token does not know the presented key handle.

TIMEOUT of type `int`
Timeout reached before request could be satisfied

A. References

A.1 Normative references

[ECMA-262]

ECMAScript Language Specification, Edition 5.1. June 2011. URL: <http://www.ecma-international.org/publications/standards/Ecma-262.htm>

[FIDOAppIDAndFacets]

D. Balfanz, B. Hill, *FIDO AppID and Facets v1.0*. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: <http://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-appid-and-facets-v1.0-ps-20140209.pdf>

[FIDOGlossary]

R. Lindemann, D. Baghdasaryan, B. Hill *FIDO Technical Glossary v1.0*. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: <http://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-glossary-v1.0-ps-20141009.pdf>

[RFC2119]

S. Bradner. *Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels*. March 1997. Best Current Practice. URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc2119.txt>

[RFC4648]

S. Josefsson, *The Base16, Base32, and Base64 Data Encodings (RFC 4648)*, IETF, October 2006, URL: <http://www.ietf.org/rfc/rfc4648.txt>

[U2FRawMsgs]

D. Balfanz, J. Ehrensvar, *FIDO U2F Raw Message Formats v1.0*. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: <http://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-raw-message-formats-v1.0-ps-20141009.pdf>

[WEBMESSAGING]

Ian Hickson. *HTML5 Web Messaging*. 1 May 2012. W3C Candidate Recommendation. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/webmessaging/>

[WebIDL]

Cameron McCormack. *Web IDL*. 19 April 2012. W3C Candidate Recommendation. URL: <http://www.w3.org/TR/WebIDL/>

A.2 Informative references

[U2FOverview]

S. Srinivas, D. Balfanz, E. Tiffany, *FIDO U2F Overview v1.0*. FIDO Alliance Implementation Draft. URL: <http://fidoalliance.org/specs/fido-u2f-overview-v1.0-ps-20141009.pdf>